

IRREDUCIBILITY CRITERION FOR QUASI-ORDINARY POLYNOMIALS

ABDALLAH ASSI

ABSTRACT. Using the notion of approximate roots and that of generalized Newton sets, we give a local criterion for a quasi ordinary polynomial to be irreducible. Such a criterion is useful in the study of singularities of quasi-ordinary hypersurfaces. It generalizes the criterion given by S.S. Abhyankar for algebraic plane curves.

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_e]] = \mathbf{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ be the ring of formal power series in x_1, \dots, x_e over \mathbf{K} . Let $F = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$, and suppose that F is irreducible in $\mathbf{R}[y]$. Suppose that $e = 1$ and let g be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$, then define the intersection multiplicity of F with g , denoted $\text{int}(F, g)$, to be the x -order of the y resultant of F and g . The set of $\text{int}(F, g), g \in \mathbf{R}[y]$, defines a semigroup, denoted $\Gamma(F)$. It is well known that a set of generators of $\Gamma(F)$ can be computed from polynomials having maximal contact with F (see [1]), namely, there exist g_1, \dots, g_h such that $n, \text{int}(F, g_1), \dots, \text{int}(F, g_h)$ generate $\Gamma(F)$ and for all $1 \leq k \leq h$, the Newton-Puiseux expansion of g_k coincides with that of F up to a characteristic exponent of F . In [1], Abhyankar introduced a special set of polynomials called the approximate roots of F . These polynomials have the advantage that they can be calculated from the equation of F by using the Tschirnhausen transform. Suppose that $e \geq 2$ and that the y -discriminant of f , denoted by $D_y(F)$, is of the form $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_e^{N_e} \cdot u(x_1, \dots, x_e)$, where $N_1, \dots, N_e \in \mathbf{N}$ and u is a unit in \mathbf{R} (such a polynomial is called quasi-ordinary polynomial). By the Abhyankar-Jung Theorem (see [2]), the roots of $F(x, y) = 0$ are all in $\mathbf{K}[[x_1^{\frac{1}{n}}, \dots, x_e^{\frac{1}{n}}]]$, in particular there exists a power series $y(t_1, \dots, t_e) = \sum_{p \in \mathbf{N}^e} c_p t_1^{p_1} \dots t_e^{p_e} \in \mathbf{K}[[t_1, \dots, t_e]]$ such that $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(t_1, \dots, t_e)) = 0$ and the other roots of $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = 0$ are the conjugates of $y(t_1, \dots, t_e)$ with respect to the n th roots of unity in \mathbf{K} . Given a polynomial g of $\mathbf{R}[y]$, we define the order of g to be the leading exponent with respect to the lexicographical order of the smallest homogeneous component of $g(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(t_1, \dots, t_e))$. The set of orders of polynomials of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ defines a semigroup, denoted $\Gamma(F)$. It turns out that, as in the curve case, there exists a set of approximate roots of F whose orders generate $\Gamma(F)$ (see [6], [8]). Furthermore,

(*) these approximate roots of F are quasi-ordinary and irreducible

In Section 4. we introduce the notion of generalized Newton set of a polynomial with respect to a set of polynomials and a set of elements of \mathbf{N}^e , and we define the notion of the straightness of such a set. It turns out that

(**) F is straight with respect to its set of approximate roots and the set of generators of its semigroup.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S25, 32S70.

During the development of this work, the author visited the Department of Mathematics at the American University of Beirut. He would like to thank that institution for its hospitality and support. He also would like to thank the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences for offering access to many facilities.

The main result of the paper is that the two properties above, together with some numerical conditions, characterize irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomials (see Theorem 5.1.).

Note that if $e = 1$, then any nonzero element of $\mathbf{K}[[x]][y]$ is quasi-ordinary, in particular our results generalize those of Abhyankar given in [3].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we discuss the main properties of an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial F . In Section 2 we introduce the notion of approximate roots of a polynomial in one variable over a commutative ring with unity. By [6], the orders of the approximate roots together with the canonical basis of $(n\mathbf{Z})^e$ give a set of generators of the semigroup of F . We recall this property in Section 3. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the irreducibility criterion: in Section 4 we introduce the notion of generalized Newton polygon, and we define the notion of straightness of a polynomial with respect to a set of polynomials, then we use these notions in Section 5 in order to decide if a given quasi-ordinary polynomial is irreducible. We finally end the paper with some examples in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions on the original manuscript.

1. THE SEMIGROUP OF A QUASI-ORDINARY POLYNOMIAL

Let \mathbf{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_e]]$ (denoted by $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{x}]]$) be the ring of formal power series in x_1, \dots, x_e over \mathbf{K} . Let $F = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and assume, after a possible change of variables, that $a_1(\underline{x}) = 0$. Suppose that the discriminant of F is of the form $x_1^{N_1} \dots x_e^{N_e} \cdot u(x_1, \dots, x_e)$, where $N_1, \dots, N_e \in \mathbf{N}$ and $u(\underline{x})$ is a unit in \mathbf{R} . We call F a quasi-ordinary polynomial. It follows from the Abhyankar-Jung Theorem (see [2]) that there exists a formal power series $y(\underline{t}) = y(t_1, \dots, t_e) \in \mathbf{K}[[t_1, \dots, t_e]]$ (denoted by $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{t}]]$) such that $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t})) = 0$. Furthermore, if F is an irreducible polynomial, then we have:

$$F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y - y(w_1^i t_1, \dots, w_e^i t_e))$$

where $(w_1^i, \dots, w_e^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are distinct elements of $(U_n)^e$, U_n being the group of n th roots of unity in \mathbf{K} .

Suppose that F is irreducible and let $y(\underline{t})$ be as above. Write $y(\underline{t}) = \sum_p c_p \underline{t}^p$ and define the support of $y(\underline{t})$, denoted $\text{Supp}(y(\underline{t}))$, to be the set $\{p | c_p \neq 0\}$. Obviously the support of $y(w_1 t_1, \dots, w_e t_e)$ does not depend on $w_1, \dots, w_e \in U_n$. We denote it by $\text{Supp}(F)$ and we call it the support of F . Given $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^e$, we say that $a \leq b$ (resp. $a < b$) if $a \leq b$ coordinate-wise (resp. $a \leq b$ coordinate-wise and $a \neq b$). By [9], there exists a finite sequence of elements in $\text{Supp}(F)$, denoted m_1, \dots, m_h , such that

- i) $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_h$.
- ii) If $p \in \text{Supp}(F)$, then $p \in (n\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{p \in m_i + \mathbb{N}^e} m_i \mathbb{Z}$.
- iii) $m_i \notin (n\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m_j \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h$.

The set of elements of this sequence is called the set of characteristic exponents of F , or the m -sequence associated with F .

Let $glex$ be the well-ordering on \mathbb{N}^e defined as follows: $\underline{\alpha} <_{glex} \underline{\beta}$ if and only if $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^e \alpha_i < |\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^e \beta_i$ or $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and $\alpha <_{lex} \beta$ (where lex denotes the lexicographical order).

Definition 1.1. Let $u = \sum_p c_p \underline{t}^p$ in $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{t}]]$ be a nonzero formal power series. We denote by $\text{In}(u)$ the initial form of u : if $u = u_d + u_{d+1} + \dots$ denotes the decomposition of u into a sum

of homogeneous components, then $\text{In}(u) = u_d$. We set $O_t(u) = d$ and we call it the t -order of u . We denote by $\text{exp}_{\text{glex}}(u)$ the greatest exponent of u with respect to glex. We denote by $\text{inco}_{\text{glex}}(u)$ the coefficient $c_{\text{exp}_{\text{glex}}(u)}$, and we call it the initial coefficient of u . We finally set $M_{\text{glex}}(u) = \text{inco}_{\text{glex}}(u)t^{\text{exp}_{\text{glex}}(u)}$, and we call it the initial monomial of u .

Remark 1.2. Let $u(\underline{t}) \in \mathbf{K}[[\underline{t}]]$ be a nonzero formal power series, and let $\text{In}(u)$ be the initial form of u . Let \prec be a well-ordering on \mathbb{N}^e and define the leading exponent of u to be the leading exponent of $\text{In}(u)$ with respect to \prec . If \prec is not the lexicographical order, then we get a different notion of leading exponent (resp. initial coefficient, resp. initial monomial) of u . Note that if $\text{In}(u)$ is a monomial, then these notions do not depend on the choice of \prec .

Denote by $\text{Root}(f)$ the set of n roots of $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = 0$ introduced above and let $y(\underline{t})$ be an element of this set. We have the following:

Lemma 1.3. (See [9], paragraph 5.) $\text{In}(y(\underline{t}) - z(\underline{t}))$ is a monomial for all $z(\underline{t}) \in \text{Root}(f) - \{y(\underline{t})\}$. Furthermore, $\{\text{exp}_{\text{glex}}(y(\underline{t}) - z(\underline{t})) | z(\underline{t}) \in \text{Root}(f) - \{y(\underline{t})\}\} = \{m_1, \dots, m_h\}$.

Let g be a nonzero element of $\mathbf{R}[y]$. The order of g with respect to F , denoted $O_{\text{glex}}(F, g)$, is defined to be $\text{exp}_{\text{glex}}(g(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t})))$. Note that it does not depend on the choice of the root $y(\underline{t})$ of $F(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y) = 0$. The set $\{O_{\text{glex}}(F, g) | g \in \mathbf{R}[y], g \notin (F)\}$ defines a subsemigroup of \mathbf{Z}^e . We call it the semigroup associated with F and we denote it by $\Gamma(F)$ (see [6], [8],[10], and [11] for the several definitions of the semigroup of F).

Let $\underline{m}_0 = (m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbb{Z})^e$. Let I_e be the unit $e \times e$ matrix, and let $D_1 = n^e$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, let D_{i+1} be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $(nI_e, m_1^T, \dots, m_i^T)$ (where T denotes the transpose of a matrix). Since $m_i \notin (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m_j \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, then $D_{i+1} < D_i$. We call (D_1, \dots, D_{h+1}) the \underline{D} -sequence associated with F , and we denote it by $\text{GCDM}(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e, m_1, \dots, m_h)$. We define the sequence $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq h}$ to be $e_i = \frac{D_i}{D_{i+1}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, and we call it the \underline{e} -sequence associated with F .

Let $F_0 = \mathbf{K}((\underline{x}))$ and let $\mathbf{F}_k = \mathbf{F}_{k-1}(x_1^{\frac{m_k^1}{n}} \dots x_e^{\frac{m_k^e}{n}})$ for all $k = 1, \dots, h$. In particular we have:

$$\mathbf{F}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{F}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{F}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbf{F}_h = \mathbf{F}_0(x_1^{\frac{m_1^1}{n}} \dots x_e^{\frac{m_1^e}{n}}, \dots, x_1^{\frac{m_h^1}{n}} \dots x_e^{\frac{m_h^e}{n}})$$

Proposition 1.4. With the notations above, we have the following:

- i) If $y(\underline{x})$ is a root of $F(\underline{x}, y) = 0$, then $F_h = \mathbf{K}((y(\underline{x})))$.
- ii) For all $k = 1, \dots, h$, \mathbf{F}_k is an algebraic extension of degree e_k of \mathbf{F}_{k-1} .
- iii) For all $k = 1, \dots, h$, \mathbf{F}_k is an algebraic extension of degree $e_k \cdot e_{k-1} \dots e_1$ of \mathbf{F}_0 .
- iv) $n = \text{deg}_y(F) = e_1 \dots e_h = \frac{D_1}{D_{h+1}} = \frac{n^e}{D_{h+1}}$. In particular $D_{h+1} = n^{e-1}$.

Proof. . ii), iii), and iv) are obvious. For a proof of i) see [9], Paragraph 5. \square

Remark 1.5. (see [9]) Conversely, let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let $Y(\underline{t}) = \sum_p c_p \underline{t}^p \in \mathbf{K}[[\underline{t}]]$, and suppose that there exists a finite sequence of elements m'_1, \dots, m'_h of $\text{Supp}(Y(\underline{t}))$ such that the following holds:

- i) $m'_1 < m'_2 < \dots < m'_h$.
- ii) If $p \in \text{Supp}(Y(\underline{t}))$, then $p \in (N\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{p \in m'_i + \mathbb{N}^e} m'_i \mathbb{Z}$.
- iii) $m_i \notin (N\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m'_j \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h'$.

Let $\bar{F}(\underline{x}, y)$ be the minimal polynomial of $Y(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}}) = \sum_p c_p \underline{x}^{\frac{p}{N}}$. If $\deg_y(\bar{F}) = N$, then $\mathbf{F}_0(Y(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}})) = \mathbf{F}_0(x_1^{\frac{m'_1}{N}} \dots x_e^{\frac{m'_e}{N}}, \dots, x_1^{\frac{m'_{h'}}{N}} \dots x_e^{\frac{m'_{h'}}{N}})$. In particular, for all $Z(t) \in \text{Root}(\bar{F})$, $\text{In}(Y(t) - Z(t)) = \tilde{a}' \cdot \underline{t}^{m'_k}$, where $\tilde{a}' \in \mathbf{K}^*$ and $1 \leq k \leq h'$. This implies that $D_y(\bar{F}) = a \cdot \underline{x}^\alpha (1 + u(\underline{x}))$, where $a \in \mathbf{K}^*$ and $u(\underline{0}) = 0$, i.e. \bar{F} is a quasi-ordinary polynomial.

The result of Proposition 1.4. has also the following interpretation: let $M_0 = (n\mathbf{Z})^e$ and let $M_i = (n\mathbf{Z})^e + \sum_{j=1}^i m_j \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$. Then $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_h \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^e$. In particular, since M_0 and \mathbb{Z}^e are free abelian groups of rank e , then for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, M_i is a free abelian group of rank e . Furthermore, e_i is the index of the lattice M_{i-1} in M_i .

Let $1 \leq i \leq h$ and let v_1, \dots, v_e be a basis of M_i , and recall that D_{i+1} is the determinant of the matrix (v_1^T, \dots, v_e^T) . We have the following:

Proposition 1.6. Let v be a nonzero element of \mathbb{Z}^e and let \tilde{D} be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $(v_1^T, \dots, v_e^T, v^T)$. Then \tilde{D} is also the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $(nI_e, m_1^T, \dots, m_i^T, v^T)$. With these notations, we have the following:

- i) $v \in M_i$ if and only if $\tilde{D} = D_{i+1}$.
- ii) $\frac{D_{i+1}}{\tilde{D}} \cdot v \in M_i$ and if $D_{i+1} > \tilde{D}$ then for all $1 \leq k < \frac{D_{i+1}}{\tilde{D}}$, $k \cdot v \notin M_i$.

In particular, since $m_{i+1} \notin M_i$, then $D_{i+2} > D_{i+1}$, $e_{i+1} m_{i+1} \in M_i$, and $k m_{i+1} \notin M_i$ for all $1 \leq k < e_{i+1}$.

Proof. . i) For all $k = 1, \dots, e$, let \tilde{D}_k be the determinant of the matrix $(v_1^T, \dots, v_{k-1}^T, v^T, v_{k+1}^T, \dots, v_e^T)$. If $\tilde{D} = D_{i+1}$ then D_{i+1} divides \tilde{D}_k . In particular the Cramer system $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_e v_e = v$ has the unique solution $\lambda_k = \frac{\tilde{D}_k}{D_{i+1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, if $v \in M_i$, then there exist unique integers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_e$ such that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_e v_e$, but $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_e)$ is the unique solution to the (e, e) system $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_e v_e = v$, in particular $\lambda_k = \frac{\tilde{D}_k}{D_{i+1}}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, e$. This proves that $\tilde{D} = D_{i+1}$.

ii) Let the notations be as in i) and let $1 \leq k \leq \frac{D_{i+1}}{\tilde{D}}$. Let \bar{D} be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $[v_1^T, \dots, v_e^T, (k \cdot v)^T]$. Clearly $\bar{D} = \text{gcd}(k\tilde{D}_1, \dots, k\tilde{D}_e, D_{i+1})$. If $k = \frac{D_{i+1}}{\tilde{D}}$, then $\bar{D} = \text{gcd}(D_{i+1} \frac{\tilde{D}_1}{\tilde{D}}, \dots, D_{i+1} \frac{\tilde{D}_e}{\tilde{D}}, D_{i+1}) = D_{i+1}$, which implies by i) that $k \cdot v \in M_i$. Suppose that $D_{i+1} > \tilde{D}$ and that $1 \leq k < \frac{D_{i+1}}{\tilde{D}}$. If $k \cdot v \in M_i$, then $\bar{D} = D_{i+1}$, which implies that D_{i+1} divides $\text{gcd}(k\tilde{D}_1, \dots, k\tilde{D}_e, kD_{i+1}) = k \cdot \tilde{D}$. This is a contradiction because $k \cdot \tilde{D} < D_{i+1}$. □

The following result will be used later in the paper:

Corollary 1.7. Let the notations be as in Remark 1.5., i.e. $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $Y(t) = \sum_p c_p t^p \in \mathbf{K}[[t]]$, and there exists a finite sequence of elements $m'_1, \dots, m'_{h'}$ of $\text{Supp}(Y(t))$ such that the following holds:

- i) $m'_1 < m'_2 < \dots < m'_{h'}$.
- ii) If $p \in \text{Supp}(Y(t))$ then $p \in (N\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{p \in m'_i + N\mathbb{N}^e} m'_i \mathbb{Z}$.
- iii) $m'_i \notin (N\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{j < i} m'_j \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h'$.

Let $F(\underline{x}, y)$ be the minimal polynomial of $Y(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}}) = \sum_p c_p \underline{x}^{\frac{p}{N}}$ over $\mathbf{K}(\underline{x})$ and suppose that $\deg_y F = N$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^e$, $m'_{h'} <_{gl\text{ex}} m$, and let $\bar{Y}(\underline{t}) = Y(\underline{t}) + c_m \underline{t}^m$, $c_m \in \mathbf{K}^*$. Let finally $\bar{F}(\underline{x}, y)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\bar{Y}(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}})$ over $\mathbf{K}(\underline{x})$. We have the following:

- 1) $\deg_y(\bar{F}) \geq N$ and $\deg_y(\bar{F}) = N$ if and only if $m \in M_{h'} = (N\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{i=1}^{h'} m'_i \mathbb{Z}$.
- 2) If $m \in m'_{h'} + \mathbb{N}^e$, then \bar{F} is quasi-ordinary.

Proof. . 1) Let $(D_1 = N^e, \dots, D_{h'+1} = N^{e-1})$ be the \underline{D} -sequence associated with F . We have $\deg_y \bar{F} \geq N \cdot [\mathbf{F}_0(\bar{Y}(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}})), \mathbf{F}_{h'}] \geq N$, and $m \in M_{h'}$ if and only if $\mathbf{F}_{h'} = \mathbf{F}_0(\bar{Y}(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{N}}))$, and this holds if and only if $\deg_y(\bar{F}) = N$.

2) If $m \in M_{h'}$ (resp. $m \notin M_{h'}$), then $\bar{Y}(\underline{x})$ and $m'_1, \dots, m'_{h'}$ (resp. $\bar{Y}(\underline{x})$ and $m'_1, \dots, m'_{h'}, m'_{h'+1} = m$) satisfy the conditions of Remark 1.5., and \bar{F} is quasi-ordinary. □

Let $d_i = \frac{D_i}{D_{h+1}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h+1$. In particular $d_1 = n$ and $d_{h+1} = 1$. The sequence $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{h+1})$ is called the gcd-sequence of F or the \underline{d} -sequence associated with F . Let $(r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e) = (m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbb{Z})^e$ and define the sequence $(r_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$ by $r_1 = m_1$ and:

$$r_{k+1} = e_k r_k + m_{k+1} - m_k$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq h-1$. We call $(r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h)$ the \underline{r} -sequence associated with F . Note that each of the sequences $(m_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$ and $(r_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h}$ determines the other. More precisely $m_1 = r_1$ and $r_k d_k = m_1 d_1 + \sum_{j=2}^k (m_j - m_{j-1}) d_j$ (resp. $m_k = r_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (e_j - 1) r_j$) for all $2 \leq k \leq h$. In particular $M_k = (n\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \mathbb{Z} = (n\mathbb{Z})^e + \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, h$. It also follows that $\text{GCDM}(r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h) = \text{GCDM}(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e, m_1, \dots, m_h)$, in particular, the results of Proposition 1.6. hold if we replace (m_1, \dots, m_h) by (r_1, \dots, r_h) .

Corollary 1.8. (see also [6], Lemma 3.3.) Let $(r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h)$ be the \underline{r} -sequence associated with F . For all $1 \leq k \leq h-1$, we have:

- i) $r_k d_k < r_{k+1} d_{k+1}$.
- ii) $e_k r_k \in M_{k-1}$.
- iii) For all $1 \leq i < e_k$, $i r_k \notin M_{k-1}$.

Proof. . This results from Proposition 1.6. and the equalities above. □

Let $\phi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^p, \dots, t_e^p, Y(\underline{t}))$ and $\psi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^q, \dots, t_e^q, Z(\underline{t}))$ be two nonzero elements of $\mathbf{K}[[\underline{t}]]^{e+1}$.

We define the contact between ϕ and ψ , denoted $c_{gl\text{ex}}(\phi, \psi)$, to be the element $\frac{1}{pq} \exp_{gl\text{ex}}(Y(t_1^q, \dots, t_e^q) - Z(t_1^p, \dots, t_e^p))$.

We define the contact between F and ϕ , denoted $c_{gl\text{ex}}(F, \phi)$, to be the maximal element of

$$\{c_{gl\text{ex}}(\phi, (t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t}))) \mid y(\underline{t}) \in \text{Root}(f)\}.$$

Let $g = y^m + b_1(\underline{x})y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$. Suppose that g is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial and let $\psi(\underline{t}) = (t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m, Z(\underline{t}))$ be a root of $g(t_1^m, \dots, t_e^m, y) = 0$. We define the contact between F and g , denoted $c_{gl\text{ex}}(F, g)$, to be the contact between F and ψ . Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of the root $Z(\underline{t})$ of g , and that if $F.g$ is a quasi-ordinary polynomial, then $\text{In}(F(\psi(\underline{t}))) = M_{gl\text{ex}}(F(\psi(\underline{t})))$. In this case, the contact $c_{gl\text{ex}}(F, g)$ coincides with the notion of contact introduced in [4] and [12]. The following Proposition generalizes a well known result for plane curves. It calculates the order $O_{gl\text{ex}}(F, g)$ in terms of the contact $c_{gl\text{ex}}(F, g)$ and the characteristic sequences of F . When $F.g$ is quasi-ordinary, this result has been proved in [12], Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 5.9.

Proposition 1.9. Let $g = y^m + b_1(\underline{x})y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(\underline{x})$ be an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and suppose that $m \leq n$. If $c = c_{glex}(F, g)$ then we have the following:

- i) If $nc <_{glex} m_1$, then $O_{glex}(F, g) = nmc$.
- ii) Otherwise, let $1 \leq q \leq h-1$ be the smallest integer such that $m_q \leq_{glex} nc <_{glex} m_{q+1}$, then $O_{glex}(F, g) = (r_q d_q + (nc - m_q) d_{q+1}) \cdot \frac{m}{n}$. In particular $O_{glex}(F, g) <_{glex} r_{q+1} h_{q+1} \cdot \frac{m}{n}$.

Proof. . The proof is technical. It uses the same arguments as in the case of plane curves (see also [12], Proposition 5.9.). We shall consequently omit the details. \square

2. G-ADIC EXPANSIONS

Let \mathbf{S} be a commutative ring with unity and let $\mathbf{S}[y]$ be the ring of polynomials in y with coefficients in \mathbf{S} . Let $f = y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $n > 0$ in y . Let $d \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose that d divides n . Let g be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d}$ in y . There exist unique polynomials $a_1(y), \dots, a_d(y) \in \mathbf{S}[y]$ such that:

$$f = g^d + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i(y) \cdot g^{d-i}$$

and for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, $\deg_y(a_i(y)) < \frac{n}{d} = \deg_y g$ (where \deg_y denotes the y -degree). The equation above is called the g -adic expansion of f . Assume that d is a unit in \mathbf{S} . The Tschirnhausen transform of f with respect to g , denoted $\tau_f(g)$, is defined to be $\tau_f(g) = g + d^{-1}a_1$. Note that $\tau_f(g) = g$ if and only if $a_1 = 0$. By [1], $\tau_f(g) = g$ if and only if $\deg_y(f - g^d) < n - \frac{n}{d}$. If one of these equivalent conditions is satisfied, then the polynomial g is called a d -th approximate root of f . By [1], there exists a unique d -th approximate root of f . We denote it by $\text{App}_d(f)$.

Let $n = d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_h$ be a sequence of integers such that d_{i+1} divides d_i for all $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, and set $e_i = \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}$, $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ and $e_h = +\infty$. For all $1 \leq i \leq h$, let g_i be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{S}[y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d_i}$ in y . Set $\underline{G} = (g_1, \dots, g_h)$ and let $B = \{\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h) \in \mathbf{N}^h, 0 \leq \theta_i < e_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq h\}$. Then f can be uniquely written as $f = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B} a_{\underline{\theta}} \cdot \underline{g}^{\underline{\theta}}$ where $\underline{g}^{\underline{\theta}} = g_1^{\theta_1} \dots g_h^{\theta_h}$ and $a_{\underline{\theta}} \in \mathbf{S}$ for all $\underline{\theta} \in B$. We call this expansion the \underline{G} -adic expansion of f .

3. GENERATORS OF THE SEMIGROUP OF F

Let the notations be as in Sections 1. and 2., in particular $F = y^n + a_2(\underline{x})y^{n-2} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y] = \mathbf{K}[[\underline{x}]] [y]$. We have the following:

Theorem 3.1. (see [6], [8]) Let the notations be as above, and let $d_1 = n, \dots, d_h, d_{h+1} = 1$ be the gcd-sequence of F . The d_k -th approximate root $\text{App}_{d_k}(F)$ is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial for all $k = 1, \dots, h$. Furthermore, $c_{glex}(F, \text{App}_{d_k}(F)) = \frac{m_k}{n}$ and $O_{glex}(F, \text{App}_{d_k}(F)) = r_k$.

Let $\underline{G} = (g_1, \dots, g_h, g_{h+1})$ be the d_k -th approximate roots of F , $1 \leq k \leq h+1$, and recall that $g_1 = y$, $g_{h+1} = F$. Let $B(\underline{G}) = \{\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h, \theta_{h+1}) \in \mathbf{N}^{h+1} | \theta_{h+1} < +\infty \text{ and } 0 \leq \theta_k < e_k \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq h\}$.

Lemma 3.2. (see [8], (2.3)) Given two elements $\underline{\theta}^1, \underline{\theta}^2 \in B(\underline{G})$ and two elements $\underline{\gamma}^1, \underline{\gamma}^2 \in \mathbf{N}^e$, if $\theta_{h+1}^1 = \theta_{h+1}^2$ and $\underline{\theta}^1 \neq \underline{\theta}^2$, then $\sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i^1 r_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^h \theta_k^1 r_k \neq \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i^2 r_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^h \theta_k^2 r_k$.

Let $\bar{F}(\underline{x}, y)$ be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and let

$$\bar{F} = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G})} c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) g_1^{\theta_1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_h} g_{h+1}^{\theta_{h+1}}$$

be the \underline{G} -adic expansion of \bar{F} . Let $\text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(\bar{F}) = \{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G}) | c_{\underline{\theta}} \neq 0\}$ and let $B'(\underline{G}) = \{\underline{\theta} \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(\bar{F}) | \theta_{h+1} = 0\}$. Clearly F divides \bar{F} if and only if $B'(\underline{G}) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.2., there is a unique $\underline{\theta}_0 \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(\bar{F})$ such that $O_{\text{gllex}}(F, \bar{F}) = O_{\text{gllex}}(F, M(c_{\underline{\theta}_0}(\underline{x})) g_1^{\theta_0^1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_0^h}) = O_{\text{gllex}}(F, M(c_{\underline{\theta}_0}(\underline{x}))) + \sum_{i=1}^h \theta_0^i r_i$. We set $M_{\underline{G}}(\bar{F}) = M_{\text{gllex}}(c_{\underline{\theta}_0}(\underline{x})) g_1^{\theta_0^1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_0^h}$ and we call it the \underline{G} -initial monomial of \bar{F} . This leads to the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. (see also [6], [8]) With the notations above, $r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h$ generate $\Gamma(F)$.

Lemma 3.4. (see also [6], Prop. 2.3. or [11], Lemmas 7.4. and 7.5.) Let \bar{F} be a non zero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$. If $\deg_y(\bar{F}) < \frac{n}{d_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq h$, then $O_{\text{gllex}}(F, \bar{F}) \in \langle r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1} \rangle$. More precisely, there are unique $\theta_0^1, \dots, \theta_0^e, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $O_{\text{gllex}}(F, \bar{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^e \theta_0^i r_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_j r_j$ where $0 \leq \theta_j < e_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Proof. . Let the notations be as above, and let

$$\bar{F} = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G})} c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) g_1^{\theta_1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_h} g_{h+1}^{\theta_{h+1}}$$

be the \underline{G} -adic expansion of \bar{F} . Since $\deg_y(\bar{F}) < \frac{n}{d_k}$, then for all $\underline{\theta} \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(\bar{F})$, $\theta_k = \dots = \theta_h = 0$. This implies the result. \square

4. GENERALIZED NEWTON SETS

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1$ and let $\underline{r}_0 = (r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbb{Z})^e$. Let $r_1 < \dots < r_h$ be a sequence of elements of \mathbb{N}^e . Set $D_1 = n^e$ and for all $1 \leq k \leq h$, let D_{k+1} be the GCD of the $e \times e$ minors of the $e \times (e+k)$ matrix $(nI_e, (r_1)^T, \dots, (r_k)^T)$. Suppose that n^{e-1} divides D_k for all $1 \leq k \leq h+1$ and that $D_{h+1} = n^{e-1}$, and also that $D_1 > D_2 > \dots > D_{h+1}$, in such a way that if we set $d_1 = n$ and $d_k = \frac{D_k}{n^{e-1}}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq h+1$, then $d_1 = n > d_2 > \dots > d_{h+1} = 1$.

For all $1 \leq k \leq h+1$, let g_k be a monic polynomial of degree $\frac{n}{d_k}$ in y and set $\underline{G} = (g_1, \dots, g_h, g_{h+1})$, $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_h)$. Let H be a nonzero polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$, and let

$$H = \sum_{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G})} c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) g_1^{\theta_1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_h} g_{h+1}^{\theta_{h+1}}$$

where $B(\underline{G}) = \{\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h, \theta_{h+1}) | \theta_{h+1} < +\infty \text{ and } 0 \leq \theta_i < \frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}} \forall 1 \leq i \leq h\}$, be the \underline{G} -adic expansion of H . Let $\text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(H) = \{\underline{\theta} \in B(\underline{G}) | c_{\underline{\theta}} \neq 0\}$ and let $B'(\underline{G}) = \{\underline{\theta} \in \text{Supp}_{\underline{G}}(H) | \theta_{h+1} = 0\}$. Suppose that $B'(\underline{G}) \neq \emptyset$. Given $\underline{\theta} \in B'(\underline{G})$, if $\underline{\gamma}_{\underline{\theta}} = \exp_{\text{gllex}}(c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}))$, we shall associate with the monomial $c_{\underline{\theta}}(\underline{x}) g_1^{\theta_1} \cdots g_h^{\theta_h}$ the e -tuple

$$\langle (\underline{\gamma}_\theta, \underline{\theta}), (r_0, r) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_{\theta_i} r_0^i + \sum_{j=1}^h \theta_j r_j.$$

We set $N_{\underline{G}}(H) = \{ \langle (\underline{\gamma}_\theta, \underline{\theta}), (r_0, r) \rangle, \underline{\theta} \in B'(\underline{G}) \}$, and we call it the \underline{G} -Newton set of H . By Lemma 3.2., there is a unique $\underline{\theta}^0 \in B'(\underline{G})$ such that if $\underline{\gamma}_{\theta^0} = \exp_{\text{glex}}(c_{\underline{\theta}^0}(\underline{x}))$, then:

$$\langle (\underline{\gamma}_{\theta^0}, \underline{\theta}^0), (r_0, r) \rangle = \min_{\text{glex}}(N_{\underline{G}}(H))$$

where \min_{glex} means the minimal element with respect to the well-ordering glex . We set $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, H) = \langle (\underline{\gamma}_{\theta^0}, \underline{\theta}^0), (r_0, r) \rangle$ and we call it the formal order of H with respect to (r, \underline{G}) . We also set $M_{\underline{G}}(H) = M_{\text{glex}}(c_{\underline{\theta}^0}(\underline{x})) \cdot g_1^{\theta_1^0} \dots g_h^{\theta_h^0}$ and we call it the initial monomial of H with respect to (r, \underline{G}) . If $B'(\underline{G}) = \emptyset$, then we set $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, H) = (+\infty, \dots, +\infty)$. Note that this holds if and only if g_{h+1} divides H .

Let $f = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and let $d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1$ be a divisor of n . Let g be a monic polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ of degree $\frac{n}{d}$ in y and let $f = g^d + \alpha_1(\underline{x}, y)g^{d-1} + \dots + \alpha_d(\underline{x}, y)$ be the g -adic expansion of f . We associate with f the set of points:

$$\{(\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, \alpha_k), (d-k)\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, g)), k = 0, \dots, d\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^e \times \mathbb{N}^e$$

We denote this set by $\text{GNS}(f, r, \underline{G}, g)$ and we call it the generalized Newton set of f with respect to (r, \underline{G}, g) (note that, since $\alpha_0 = 1$, then $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, \alpha_0) = \underline{0} \in \mathbb{N}^e$).

Definition 4.1. We say that f is straight with respect to (r, \underline{G}, g) if the following holds:

i) $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, \alpha_d) = d \cdot \text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, g)$ and $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, \alpha_d) \ll \langle (\underline{\gamma}_\theta, \underline{\theta}), (r_0, r) \rangle$ for all $\underline{\theta} \in N_{\underline{G}}(\alpha_d - M_{\underline{G}}(\alpha_d))$.

ii) For all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, and for all $\underline{\theta} \in N_{\underline{G}}(\alpha_k)$, $k \cdot \text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, g) \leq \langle (\underline{\gamma}_\theta, \underline{\theta}), (r_0, r) \rangle$.

We say that f is strictly straight with respect to (r, \underline{G}, g) if the inequality in ii) is a strict inequality.

Example 4.2. i) Let $f = (y^2 - x^3)^2 - x^5y + x^{10} \in \mathbf{K}[[x]][y]$, and let $r_0 = 4, r_1 = 6, r_2 = 13$, $\underline{G} = (g_1 = y, g_2 = y^2 - x^3, g_3 = f)$, $r = (r_1, r_2)$: $f = g_2^2 - x^5g_1$ is the g_2 -expansion of f . Furthermore, $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, g_2) = r_2 = 13$, $\text{fO}(r, \underline{G}, x^5g_1 + x^{10}) = 5r_0 + r_1 = 26 < 10r_0 = 40$. In particular, $\text{GNS}(f, r, \underline{G}, g_2) = \{(0, 26), (26, 0)\}$, and f is strictly straight with respect to (r, \underline{G}, g_2) . Note that f is irreducible, and that $\Gamma(f) = \langle 4, 6, 13 \rangle$.

ii) Let f be as in i), and let $r_0 = 4, r_1 = 10, r_2 = 13$. If $\underline{G} = (g_1 = y, g_2 = y^2 - x^3, g_3 = f)$ and $r = (10, 13)$, then $\text{GNS}(f, r, \underline{G}, g_2) = \{(0, 26), (30 = 5r_0 + r_1, 0)\}$, in particular, f is not straight with respect to (r, \underline{G}, g_2) .

5. The criterion

Let $f = y^n + a_1(\underline{x})y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$ be a nonzero quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and assume, after possibly a change of variables, that $a_1(\underline{x}) = 0$. Let $r_0 = (r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e)$ be the canonical basis of $(n\mathbb{Z})^e$ and let $D_1 = n^e$, $d_1 = n$. Let $g_1 = y$ be the d_1 -th approximate root of f and set $r_1 = \exp_{\text{glex}}(a_n(\underline{x}))$. Let D_2 be the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the $e \times (e+1)$ matrix (nI_e, r_1^T) . We set $d_2 = \frac{D_2}{n^{e-1}}$, $g_2 = \text{App}_{d_2}(f)$, and $e_2 = \frac{d_1}{d_2} = \frac{n}{d_2}$. Similarly we shall

construct r_k, g_k, d_{k+1}, e_k , $k \geq 2$ as follows: given (r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) and (d_1, \dots, d_k) , let g_k be the d_k -th approximate root of f , and let

$$f = g_k^{d_k} + \beta_2^k g_k^{d_k-2} + \dots + \beta_{d_k}^k$$

be the g_k -adic expansion of f . We set $r_k = \text{fO}(\underline{r}^k, \underline{G}^k, \beta_{d_k}^k)$, where $(\frac{r_0^1}{d_k}, \dots, \frac{r_0^e}{d_k})$ denotes the canonical basis of $(\frac{n}{d_k} \mathbb{Z})^e$, $\underline{r}^k = (\frac{r_1}{d_k}, \dots, \frac{r_{k-1}}{d_k})$ and $\underline{G}^k = (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1})$. We also set $D_{k+1} =$ the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $[nI_e, r_1^T, \dots, r_k^T]$, $d_{k+1} = \frac{D_{k+1}}{n^{e-1}}$, and $e_k = \frac{d_k}{d_{k+1}}$. With these notations we have the following:

Theorem 5.1. The quasi-ordinary polynomial f is irreducible if and only if the following holds:

- i) There is an integer h such that $d_{h+1} = 1$.
- ii) g_1, \dots, g_h are irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomials.
- iii) For all $1 \leq k \leq h-1$, $r_k d_k < r_{k+1} d_{k+1}$.
- iv) For all $2 \leq k \leq h+1$, g_k is strictly straight with respect to $(\underline{r}^k, \underline{G}^k, g_{k-1})$.

We shall first prove the following results:

Lemma 5.2. Let $c \in \mathbf{K}^*$. The quasi-ordinary polynomial $F = y^N - cx_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_e^{\alpha_e}$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{R}[y]$ if and only if $\text{gcd}(N, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e) = 1$, or equivalently if and only if the gcd of the (e, e) minors of the matrix $(NI_e, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e)^T)$ is N^{e-1} .

Proof. Let \tilde{c} be an N -th root of c in \mathbf{K} and let $d = \text{gcd}(n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e)$. If $d > 1$, then $F = \prod_{w^d=1} (y^{\frac{N}{d}} - w\tilde{c}x_1^{\frac{\alpha_1}{d}} \dots x_e^{\frac{\alpha_e}{d}})$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, let $Y = \tilde{c}x_1^{\frac{\alpha_1}{N}} \dots x_e^{\frac{\alpha_e}{N}} \in \mathbf{K}((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, \dots, x_e^{\frac{1}{N}}))$. Then F is the minimal polynomial of Y over $\mathbf{K}((\underline{x}))$. In particular it is irreducible. \square

Proposition 5.3. Let $F = y^N + b_2(\underline{x})y^{N-2} + \dots + b_N(\underline{x})$ be an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of degree N in y , and let $(m'_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h'}$ be the set of characteristic exponents of F . Let also $(d'_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h'+1}$ (resp. $(r'_k)_{1 \leq k \leq h'}$) be the \underline{d} -sequence (resp. the \underline{r} -sequence) of F . Let F' be a quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{R}[y]$ and assume that F' is monic of degree N in y . If $r'_{h'}, d'_{h'} <_{\text{glex}} O_{\text{glex}}(F, F')$, then F' is irreducible in $\mathbf{R}[y]$.

Proof. Assume that F' is not irreducible and let \tilde{F}' be an irreducible component of F' in $\mathbf{R}[y]$. Let $C = c_{\text{glex}}(F, \tilde{F}')$ be the contact of F with \tilde{F}' . If $m'_{h'} <_{\text{glex}} NC$, then $\text{deg}_y(\tilde{F}') \geq N = \text{deg}_y(F')$ (see Corollary 1.7.), which is a contradiction. Finally $NC \leq_{\text{glex}} m'_{h'}$, in particular, by Proposition 1.9., $O_{\text{glex}}(F, \tilde{F}') \leq_{\text{glex}} r'_{h'} d'_{h'} \frac{\text{deg}_y(\tilde{F}')}{N}$. Since this is true for all irreducible components of F' , then $O_{\text{glex}}(F, F') \leq_{\text{glex}} r'_{h'} d'_{h'} \frac{\text{deg}_y(F')}{N} = r'_{h'} d'_{h'}$, which contradicts the hypothesis. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Suppose first that f is irreducible. Condition i) follows from the results of Section 1, condition ii) follows from Theorem 3.1., and condition iii) is nothing but Corollary 1.8.,i). Now for all $1 \leq k \leq h+1$, g_k is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial and g_1, \dots, g_{k-1} are the approximate roots of g_k . In particular, to prove iv), it suffices to prove that $f = g_{h+1}$ is strictly straight with respect to $(\underline{r}, \underline{G}, g_h)$. Let

$$f = g_h^{d_h} + \beta_2^h g_h^{d_h-2} + \dots + \beta_{d_h}^h$$

be the g_h -adic expansion of f and let $\Gamma^{h-1}(f)$ be the semigroup generated by $r_1^0, \dots, r_e^0, r_1, \dots, r_{h-1}$. We have the following:

- For all $2 \leq i \leq h-1$, $O_{glex}(\beta_i^h, f) \in \Gamma^{h-1}(f)$ (by Lemma 3.4).
- For all $0 < a < d_h = e_h$, $a.r_h \notin \Gamma^{h-1}(f)$ (by Corollary 1.8.).

It follows that for all $2 \leq i \leq h-1$, $O_{glex}(\beta_i^h, f) \neq i.r_h$ and for all $2 \leq i \neq j \leq d_h - 1$, $O_{glex}(\beta_i^h, f) + (d_h - i)r_h \neq O_{glex}(\beta_j^h, f) + (d_h - j)r_h$. Since $O_{glex}(g_h^{d_h}, f) = r_h d_h$, then $O_{glex}(\beta_{d_h}^h, f) = r_h d_h$ and $i.r_h < O_{glex}(\beta_i^h, f)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d_h - 1$. The other assertions follow by a similar argument.

Conversely suppose that f satisfies the conditions i), ii), iii), and iv). We shall prove by induction on h that f is irreducible. Suppose that $h = 1$, then $f = y^n + a_2(\underline{x})y^{n-2} + \dots + a_n(\underline{x})$, $\underline{G} = (y, f)$, and $\underline{r} = r_1 = \exp_{glex}(a_n(\underline{x}))$. Now condition iv) implies that $i.\exp_{glex}(a_n(\underline{x})) < \exp_{glex}(a_i(\underline{x}))$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Furthermore, $D_2 = n^{e-1}$ by condition i). In particular $F = y^n + M_{glex}(a_n(\underline{x}))$ is irreducible by Lemma 5.2. Since $r_1 d_1 < O_{glex}(F, f) = O_{glex}(f - F, f)$, then f is irreducible by Proposition 5.3.

Let $h > 1$ and assume that g_k is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial for all $1 \leq k \leq h$. Let $m_0^1 = r_0^1, \dots, m_0^e = r_0^e, m_1 = r_1$ and for all $2 \leq i \leq h$, let:

$$m_i = r_i - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (e_k - 1)r_k$$

Let $f = g_h^{d_h} + \beta_2^h g_h^{d_h-2} + \dots + \beta_{d_h}^h$ be the g_h -adic expansion of f and let $Y(\underline{t}) = \sum_p Y_p \underline{t}^p$ be a root of $g_h(t_1^{\frac{n}{d_h}}, \dots, t_e^{\frac{n}{d_h}}, y) = 0$. Since the quasi-ordinary polynomial g_h is irreducible, then the \underline{m} -sequence associated with g_h is $(\frac{m_0^1}{d_h}, \dots, \frac{m_0^e}{d_h}, \frac{m_1}{d_h}, \dots, \frac{m_{h-1}}{d_h})$. In particular,

$$\text{GCDM}(\frac{m_0^1}{d_h}, \dots, \frac{m_0^e}{d_h}, \frac{m_1}{d_h}, \dots, \frac{m_{h-1}}{d_h}) = ((\frac{n}{d_h})^e, \frac{d_2}{d_h}(\frac{n}{d_h})^{e-1}, \dots, \frac{d_{h-1}}{d_h}(\frac{n}{d_h})^{e-1}, (\frac{n}{d_h})^{e-1}).$$

Note that, by Corollary 1.7., since $\deg_y g_h < n$, then $Y_{\frac{m_h}{d_h}} = 0$.

Let λ be an indeterminate and let

$$y(\underline{t}, \lambda) = \sum_p Y_p \underline{t}^{d_h \cdot p} + \lambda \underline{t}^{m_h} = Y(\underline{t}^{d_h}) + \lambda \underline{t}^{m_h}.$$

Let $F(\underline{x}, y, \lambda)$ be the minimal polynomial of $y(\underline{x}^{\frac{1}{n}}, \lambda)$ over $\mathbf{K}(\lambda)((\underline{x}))$. Conditions i) and iii) imply that the polynomial F is an irreducible quasi-ordinary polynomial of $\mathbf{K}(\lambda)[[\underline{x}]] [y]$, of degree n in y . Furthermore, the \underline{m} -sequence (resp. the \underline{r} -sequence) associated with F is $(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e, m_1, \dots, m_h)$ (resp. $(r_0^1, \dots, r_0^e, r_1, \dots, r_h)$), and

$$\text{GCDM}(m_0^1, \dots, m_0^e, m_1, \dots, m_{h-1}, m_h) = (n^e, d_2 n^{e-1}, \dots, d_{h-1} n^{e-1}, d_h n^{e-1}, n^{e-1}).$$

Now an easy calculation shows that $c_{glex}(F, g_h) = \frac{m_h}{n}$, hence $O_{glex}(F, g_h) = r_h$. Furthermore, if we denote by $Y_1(\underline{t}) = Y(\underline{t}), Y_2(\underline{t}), \dots, Y_{\frac{n}{d_h}}(\underline{t})$ the set of roots of $g_h(t_1^{\frac{n}{d_h}}, \dots, t_e^{\frac{n}{d_h}}, y) = 0$, then we have:

$$M_{glex}(y(\underline{t}, \lambda) - Y_k(t_1^{d_h}, \dots, t_e^{d_h})) = \begin{cases} \lambda t^{m_h} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ a_k t^{d_h \exp_{glex}(Y_1 - Y_k)}, a_k \neq 0 & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\exp_{glex}(g_h(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t}, \lambda))) = m_h + d_h \exp_{glex}(D_y(g_h)) = m_h + \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (e_k - 1)r_k = r_h$, finally, if $a = a_2 \cdots a_{\frac{n}{d_h}}$, then:

$$g_h(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t}, \lambda)) = a \cdot \lambda t^{r_h} \cdot u(\underline{t}, \lambda)$$

where $u(\underline{t}, \lambda)$ is a unit in $\mathbf{K}(\lambda)[[\underline{t}]]$. Let $M_{\underline{G}^h}(\beta_{d_h}^h) = c \cdot \underline{x}^{\underline{\theta}_0} \cdot g_1^{\theta_1} \cdots g_{h-1}^{\theta_{h-1}}$, where $\underline{G}^h = (g_1, \dots, g_h)$ and $c \in \mathbf{K}^*$. We have:

$$O_{glex}(M_{\underline{G}^h}(\beta_{d_h}^h), F) = \sum_{i=1}^e \theta_0^i r_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \theta_k r_k$$

which is $r_h d_h$ by condition iv). By the same condition, the following hold:

- $\beta_{d_h}(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, Y(\underline{t}, \lambda)) = \bar{c} \underline{t}^{r_h d_h} (1 + \bar{u}(\underline{t}, \lambda))$, where $\bar{u}(0, \lambda) = 0$ and $\bar{c} \neq 0$.
- $r_h d_h < \exp_{glex}(\beta_i g_i^{d_h - i}(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, Y(\underline{t}, \lambda)))$.

In particular $f(t_1^n, \dots, t_e^n, y(\underline{t}, \lambda)) = (\bar{c} + \lambda) t^{r_h d_h} \cdot u_1(\underline{t}, \lambda)$, where $u_1(\underline{t}, \lambda)$ is a unit in $\mathbf{K}(\lambda)[[\underline{t}]]$. Finally $r_h d_h < O_{glex}(F(\underline{x}, y, -\bar{c}), f)$, which implies by Proposition 5.3. that f is irreducible.

6. EXAMPLES

Example 1: Let $f = y^8 - 2x_1 x_2 y^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_1^3 x_2^2 \in \mathbf{K}[[x_1, x_2]][y]$. Then we have:

- $D_1 = n^2 = 8^2 = 64, d_1 = n = 8, r_0^1 = (8, 0), r_0^2 = (0, 8), g_1 = \text{App}_{d_1}(f) = y$, and $r_1 = O(f, g_1) = (2, 2)$.

- D_2 is the gcd of the 2×2 minors of the matrix $(8 \cdot I_e, (2, 2)^T)$, then $D_2 = 16 = 8 \cdot 2$, in particular $d_2 = 2$. Since $f = (y^4 - x_1 x_2)^2 - x_1^3 x_2^2$, then $g_2 = \text{App}_{d_2}(f) = y^4 - x_1 x_2$. Let $\underline{r}^2 = (\frac{r_0^1}{d_2}, \frac{r_0^2}{d_2}, \frac{r_1}{d_2}) = ((4, 0), (0, 4), (1, 1))$ and $\underline{G}^2 = (g_1)$, then $r_2 = \text{fO}(\underline{r}^2, \underline{G}^2, x_1^3 x_2^2) = 3(4, 0) + 2(0, 4) = (12, 8)$.

- D_3 is the gcd of the 2×2 minors of the matrix $(8I_2, (2, 2)^T, (12, 8)^T)$, then $D_3 = 8$, in particular $d_3 = 1$.

- Now $\text{GNP}(g_2, \underline{r}^2, \underline{G}^2) = \{((0, 0), 4 \cdot (1, 1)), ((4, 4), (0, 0))\}$ and $\text{GNP}(f, \underline{r}^3 = (r_0^1, r_0^2, r_1, r_2), \underline{G}^3 = (g_1, g_2)) = \{((0, 0), 2 \cdot (12, 8)), ((24, 16), (0, 0))\}$, then the strict straightness condition is verified. Since $g_1 = y$ is irreducible, then so is g_2 , but g_2 is quasi-ordinary and $r_1 d_1 < r_2 d_2$, then f is irreducible. Note that $m_2 = r_2 - (\frac{d_1}{d_2} - 1)r_1 = (12, 8) - 3(2, 2) = (6, 2)$ is the second characteristic exponent of f .

Example 2: Let $f = y^8 - 2x_1 x_2 y^4 + x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_1^4 x_2^2 - x_1^5 x_2^3 \in \mathbf{K}[[x_1, x_2]][y]$. Then we have:

- $D_1 = n^2 = 8^2 = 64, d_1 = n = 8, r_0^1 = (8, 0), r_0^2 = (0, 8), g_1 = \text{App}_{d_1}(f) = y$, and $r_1 = (2, 2)$.

- D_2 is the gcd of the 2×2 minors of the matrix $(8I_2, (2, 2)^T)$, then $D_2 = 16 = 8 \cdot 2$, in particular $d_2 = 2$. Since $f = (y^4 - x_1 x_2)^2 - x_1^4 x_2^2 - x_1^5 x_2^3$, then $g_2 = \text{App}_{d_2}(f) = y^4 - x_1 x_2$. Let $\underline{r}^2 = (\frac{r_0^1}{d_2}, \frac{r_0^2}{d_2}, \frac{r_1}{d_2}) = ((4, 0), (0, 4), (1, 1))$ and $\underline{G}^2 = (g_1)$, then $r_2 = \text{fO}(\underline{r}^2, \underline{G}^2, x_1^4 x_2^2) = 4(4, 0) + 2(0, 4) = (16, 8)$.

- D_3 is the gcd of the 2×2 minors of the matrix $(8 \cdot I_2, (2, 2)^T, (16, 8)^T)$, then $D_3 = 16$, in particular $d_3 = d_2 = 2$. In particular f is not irreducible. Note that in this example the strict straightness condition is verified for f and g_2 .

Example 3: Let $f = y^8 - 2x_1 x_2 y^4 + x_1^3 x_2^2 - x_1 y^5 \in \mathbf{K}[[x_1, x_2]][y]$. Then we have:

- $D_1 = n^2 = 8^2 = 64, d_1 = n = 8, r_0^1 = (8, 0), r_0^2 = (0, 8), g_1 = \text{App}_{d_1}(f) = y$, and $r_1 = (3, 2)$.

- D_2 is the gcd of the 2×2 minors of the matrix $(8I_2, (3, 2)^T)$, then $D_2 = 8$, in particular $d_2 = 1$.

- $\text{GNP}(f, \underline{r}^2 = (r_0^1, r_0^2, r_1), \underline{G}^2 = (g_1)) = \{((0, 0), 8 \cdot (3, 2)), ((8, 0), 5 \cdot (3, 2)), ((8, 0) + (0, 8), 4 \cdot (3, 2)), (3 \cdot (8, 0) + 2 \cdot (0, 8), (0, 0))\} = \{((0, 0), (24, 16)), ((8, 0), (15, 10)), ((8, 8), (12, 8)), ((24, 16), (0, 0))\}$.

Here the strict straightness is not verified, then f is not irreducible.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Abhyankar.- On the semigroup of a meromorphic curve, Part 1, in Proceedings of. International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto (1977) 240-414.
- [2] S.S. Abhyankar.- On the ramification of algebraic functions, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 575-592. DOI: 10.2307/2372643
- [3] S.S. Abhyankar.- Irreducibility criterion for germs of analytic functions of two complex variables. Adv. Math., 74 n°2(1989), 190-257. DOI: 10.1016/0001-8708(89)90009-1
- [4] E.R. García Barroso and P.D. González-Pérez.- Decomposition in bunches of the critical locus of a quasi-ordinary map, Compos. Math. 141 n° 2 (2005), 461-486. DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X040012163
- [5] P.D. González-Pérez.- Toric embedded resolutions of quasi-ordinary hypersurface singularities, Ann. Ins. Fourier, 53, n° 6 (2003) 1819-1881. DOI: 10.5802/aif.1993
- [6] P.D. González Pérez.- The semigroup of a quasi-ordinary hypersurface, J. of the Inst. Math. Jussieu, 2, n° 3 (2003), 383-399. DOI: 10.1017/S14747480030001003
- [7] P.D. González Pérez. and G. Gonzalez-Sprinberg.- Analytic invariants of quasi-ordinary hypersurface singularities associated to divisorial valuations, Kodai Math. J., 27, n° 2 (2004), 164-173. DOI: 10.2996/kmj/1093351323
- [8] K. Kiyek and M. Micus.- Semigroup of a quasiordinary singularity, Banach Center Publications, Topics in Algebra, Vol. 26 (1990), 149-156.
- [9] J. Lipman.- Topological invariants of quasi-ordinary singularities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 388 (1988).
- [10] P. Popescu-Pampu.- On the invariance of the semigroup of a quasi-ordinary singularity, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 334, n° 12 (2002), 1001-1106.
- [11] P. Popescu-Pampu.- On the invariance of the semigroup of a quasi-ordinary singularity, Duke Math. J., 124, n° 1 (2004), 67-104. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12413-3
- [12] P. Popescu-Pampu.- Sur le contact d'une hypersurface quasi-ordinaire avec ses hypersurfaces polaires, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 3, n° 1 (2004), 105-138. DOI: 10.1017/S1474748004000040

UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, MATHÉMATIQUES, 49045 ANGERS CEDEX 01, FRANCE

E-mail address: `assi@univ-angers.fr`